| Į. | I | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Taylor M. Tieman (SBN 305269) ttieman@consumerlawcenter.com | | | | | |
| 2 | Krohn & Moss, Ltd. 1112 Ocean Drive, Suite 301 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 Tel: (323) 988-2400 Fax: (866) 861-1390 | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 | Attorney for Plaintiff, GLEN BERTULFO | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | | |
| 9 | | DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISIONGLEN | | | | |
| 0 | CLEN DEPTH FO |) C N | | | | |
| 1 | GLEN BERTULFO, | Case No.: | | | | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, |) COMPLAINT) | | | | |
| 13 | v. | (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) | | | | |
| 4 | GDEDVGVVV G |) | | | | |
| 15 | GREENSKY LLC., |)) | | | | |
| 16 | Defendant. |)) | | | | |
| 17 | | - | | | | |
| 18 | GLEN BERTULFO ("Plaintiff"), by his attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., alleges the | | | | | |
| 19 | following against GREENSKY LLC., ("Defendant"): | | | | | |
| 20 | INTRODUCTION | | | | | |
| 21 | Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices | | | | | |
| 22 | Act ("Rosenthal"), Cal. Civ. Code §1788 et seq. | | | | | |
| 23 | 2. Count II of the Plaintiff's Complaint is based on Telephone Consumer Protection Ac | | | | | |
| 24 | ("TCPA"), 28 U.S.C. §227 et seq. | | | | | |
| 25 | /// | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | T. Control of the con | | | | | |

| 1 | | |
|-------|-----|-------------------|
| 2 | 3. | Jurisdiction of |
| 3 | | U.S.C. § 1331 |
| 4 | | state claims co |
| 5 | 4. | Defendant con |
| 6 | | jurisdiction. |
| 7 | 5. | Venue is prope |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | 6. | Plaintiff is a na |
| 10 | 7. | Plaintiff is a co |
| 11 | | Civ. Code § 17 |
| 12 | 8. | Defendant is |
| 13 | | conducting but |
| 14 | 9. | Defendant is a |
| 15 | | sought to colle |
| 16 | 10. | Defendant act |
| 17 | | successors, a |
| 18 | | insurers. |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | 11. | Defendant place |
| 21 | 12. | Telephone nur |
| 22 23 | 13. | Upon informa |
| 23 | | these calls, De |

24

25

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Jurisdiction of this court over Count II of Plaintiff's Complaint arises pursuant to 15
 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 grants this court supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims contained in Count I.
- 4. Defendant conducts business in the State of California thereby establishing personal jurisdiction.
- 5. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(2).

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Sunnyvale, California.
- 7. Plaintiff is a consumer or debtor and allegedly owes a debt as that term is defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(d)-(e).
- 8. Defendant is a business entity with a business office located in Atlanta, Georgia and conducting business in California.
- 9. Defendant is a debt collector as that term is defined by Cal. Civ. Code §1788.2(c), and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. Defendant placed calls to telephone number
- 12. Telephone number is Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
- 13. Upon information and belief based on the frequency, number, nature, and character of these calls, Defendant placed each of its calls to Plaintiff using an automatic telephone dialing system or other equipment that hast the capacity to store and/or produce telephone numbers.

- 14. The purpose of these calls was to collect money from Plaintiff for purchases arising from a credit card.
- 15. Plaintiff used the credit card for personal, family, and household purposes.
- 16. On or around September 26, 2016, Plaintiff spoke with one of Defendant's employees and instructed Defendant to stop calling his cell phone number.
- 17. Defendant continued to call Plaintiff's cell phone after September 26, 2016.
- 18. Since September 26 2016, Defendant called Plaintiff's cell phone at least fifty-two (52) times.
- 19. During this time period Defendant called Plaintiff's cell phone as many as three (3) times in a single day.
- 20. Plaintiff is annoyed and feels harassed by Defendant's calls.
- 21. Defendant placed these calls voluntarily.
- 22. Defendant placed these calls under its own free will.
- 23. Defendant had knowledge that it was using an automatic telephone dialing system to place these calls.
- 24. Defendant intended to use an automatic telephone dialing system to place these calls.

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 25. Defendant violated the Rosenthal based on the following:
 - a. Defendant violated the § 1788.11(d) of the Rosenthal by causing Plaintiff's telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously to annoy the person called.
 - b. Defendant violated § 1788.11(e) of the RFDCPA by communicating, by telephone, with the debtor with such frequency as to be unreasonable and to constitute a harassment to the debtor under the circumstances.
 - c. Defendant violated the §1788.17 of the Rosenthal by continuously failing to

| 1 | |
|----|-----|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | aga |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | aga |
| 21 | |

22

23

24

25

comply with the statutory regulations contained within the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* to wit: Sections 1692d.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, GLEN BERTFULO, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, GREENSKY LLC., for the following:

- 26. Statutory damages of \$1000.00 pursuant to the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code §1788.30(b),
- 27. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal. Civ Code § 1788.30(c), and
- 28. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

COUNT II DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- 29. Defendant's actions alleged supra constitute numerous negligent violations of the TCPA, entitling Plaintiff to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each and every violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 30. Defendant's actions alleged supra constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violates of the TCPA, entitling Plaintiff to an award of \$1500.00 in statutory damages for each and every violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, GLEN BERTULFO, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, GREENSKY LLC., for the following:
 - 31. Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each and every negligent violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § (b)(3)(B);
 - 32. Statutory damages of \$1500.00 for each and every knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § (b)(3)(b) and 47 U.S.C. § (b)(3)(C);
 - 33. All court costs, witness fees and other fees incurred; and

| | l 1 | | | | |
|-----|---|------|---|--|--|
| 1 | 34. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate. | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | | | RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, | | |
| 4 | Dated: January 30, 2017 | | KROHN & MOSS, LTD. | | |
| 5 | | D.,, | | | |
| 6 | | By: | <u>/s/ Taylor M. Tieman</u> Taylor M. Tieman Krohn & Moss, Ltd. | | |
| 7 8 | | | 1112 Ocean Drive, Suite 301 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 | | |
| 9 | | | Tel: (323) 988-2400 Fax: (866) 861-1390 ttieman@consumerlawcenter.com | | |
| 10 | | | Attorney for Plaintiff | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |